arXiv:1404.3308v3 [hep-ph] 27 Apr 2014

Measurement of strong coupling constant by using event shape moments in perturbative theory

L. Khajooee, T. Kalalian, R. Saleh-Moghaddam, A. Sepehri, M. E. Zomorrodian

Department of Physics, Faculty of sciences, Ferdowsi university of Mashhad, 91775-1436, Mashhad, Iran

We measure the strong coupling constant at *NNLO* corrections. We do this analysis with moments of event shape variables: thrust, C parameter, heavy hemisphere mass, wide and total jet broadening, by fitting the *L3* and *DELPHI* data with *NNLO* model. Our real data are consistent with *NNLO* calculations, because it involves higher order terms in *QCD* calculations.

PACS numbers: 13.66.Bc; 11.15.Me; 12.38.Bx

Keywords: Hadron production in e+ e- interactions; Strong coupling constant; Perturbative theory

1. Introduction

Analyses of events originating from e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons allow for studies [1–6] of Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD), the theory of the strong interaction [7–12]. Comparison of observables such as jet production rates or event shapes with theoretical predictions provides access to the determination of the strong coupling (α_s). Recently significant progress in the theoretical calculations of event shape moments and three jet rates has been made [13].

Event shape variables are interesting for studying the interplay between perturbative and non-perturbative dynamics. Apart from distributions of these observables one can study mean values and higher moments. The $n\,th$ moment of an event shape observable Y is defined by [14]:

$$\langle y^n \rangle = \frac{1}{\sigma_{had}} \int_0^{y_{\max}} y^n \frac{d\sigma}{dy} dy \tag{1}$$

where $y_{\max}$ is the kinematically allowed upper limit of the observable.

Measurements of the strong coupling (α_s) of *QCD,* the theory of strong interaction, using different observables and different analysis methods serve as an important consistency test of *QCD*.

In section 2, we perform a new extraction of α_s from *L3* and *DELPHI* data with Next to Next Leading Order (*NNLO*) model and measure the strong coupling constant at *NNLO* then we compare the moment observables with other experiments. The last section includes our conclusions.

2. NNLO corrections to event shape moments in electron positron annihilation

2.1. Definition of the observables

The properties of hadronic events may be characterized by a set of event shape observables. In this subsection 2.1 briefly recall the definitions of the relevant event shape observables. The event shape variables are defined by the following sentences.

Thrust (T) defined by the expression [15–18]:

$$T = \max\left(\frac{\sum_i \left|\vec{P}_i.\vec{n}\right|}{\sum_i |p_i|}\right) \tag{2}$$

The thrust axis $\vec{n}_T$ is the direction $\vec{n}$ which maximizes the expression in parentheses the value of the thrust can vary between 0.5 and 1.

A plane through the origin and perpendicular to $\vec{n}_T$ divides the event into two hemispheres H_1 and H_2.

C-Parameter: The linearized momentum tensor θ^{ij} is defined by [19, 20]:

$$\theta^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_1 \left|\vec{P}_L\right|} \sum_k \frac{P_k^i P_k^i}{\left|\vec{P}_k\right|}, i, j = 1, 2, 3 \tag{3}$$

Where the sum runs over all final state particles and P_k^i is the $i\,th$ component of the three – momentum $\vec{P}_k$of particle k in the center of mass system. The tensor θ is normalized to have unit trace. In terms of the eigenvalues of the θ tensor, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, with \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1$, one defines

$$C = 3(\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_3\lambda_1) \tag{4}$$

The c-parameter exhibits in perturbation theory a singularity at the three-parton boundary $c = \frac{3}{4}$

Heavy hemisphere mass (ρ): The hemisphere masses are defined by [21]

$$M_i^2 = \left(\sum_{j \in H_i} Pj \right)^2, i = 1, 2 \tag{5}$$

where P_j denotes the four-momentum of particle j. The heavy hemisphere mass M_H is then defined by:

$$M_H^2 = \max \left(M_1^2 , M_2^2 \right) \tag{6}$$

It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless quantity

$$\rho = \frac{M_H^2}{Q^2} \tag{7}$$

where Q is the centre of mass energy. In leading order the distribution of the heavy hemisphere mass (ρ) is identical to the distribution of $(1 - T)$.

Jet broadening observables B_T and B_W:

The hemisphere broadening [22–26] are defined by

$$B_K = \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in H_K} |\vec{p}_i \times \vec{n}_T|}{2 \sum_i |\vec{p}_i|} \right) \tag{8}$$

For each of the two event hemispheres, H_K defined above. The two observables are defined by:

$$B_T = B_1 + B_2 \qquad , \textit{and} \quad B_W = \max \left(B_1, B_2 \right) \tag{9}$$

where B_T is the total and B_W is the wide jet broadening.

2.2. Theoretical framework

The perturbative QCD expansion for the moment of the event shape observable y up to NNLO at centre-of- mass energy $\sqrt{S}$ for renormalization scale $\mu^2 = s$ and $\alpha_s \equiv \alpha_s (S)$ is given by [14]:

$$\langle y^n \rangle \ \left(s, \mu^2 = s \right) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right)^1 \overline{A}_{y,n} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right)^2 \overline{B}_{y,n} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right)^3 \overline{C}_{y,n} + O \left(\alpha_s \right)^4 \tag{10}$$

The detailed calculations of the coefficients $A_{y,n}$, $B_{y,n}$ and $C_{y,n}$ was achieved by Gehrmann et.al, [14, 27]. In addition $\overline{A}_{y,n}$, $\overline{B}_{y,n}$ *and* $\overline{C}_{y,n}$ are related to $A_{y,n}$, $B_{y,n}$ and $C_{y,n}$ according to;

$$\overline{A}_{y,n} = A_{y,n}$$

$$\overline{B}_{y,n} = B_{y,n} - \frac{3}{2} C_F \; A_{y,n},$$
$$\overline{C}_{y,n} = C_{y,n} - \frac{3}{2} C_F B_{y,n} + \left(\frac{9}{4} C_F^2 - K_2\right) A_{y,n} \tag{11}$$

The constant K_2 is given by [28, 29]

$$K_2 = \frac{1}{4}\left[-\frac{3}{2} C_F^2 + C_F\, C_A \left(\frac{123}{2} - 44\zeta_3\right) + C_F T_R N_F \left(-22 + 16\zeta_3\right)\right] \tag{12}$$

Where the QCD color factors are:

$$C_A = N\,,\; C_F = \frac{N^2-1}{2N},\;\; T_R = \frac{1}{2} \tag{13}$$

For $N = 3$ colours and N_F light quark flavors. The coefficients A, B and C have been computed for several event-shape variables In terms of the running coupling $\alpha_s\left(\mu^2\right)$ the NNLO expression for an event shape moment measured at centre-of-mass energy squared s becomes [14]:

$$\langle y^n \rangle \; \left(s, \mu^2\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s\left(\mu\right)}{2\pi}\right) \overline{A}_{y,n} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s\left(\mu\right)}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left(\overline{B}_{y,n} + \overline{A}_{y,n}\, \beta_\circ \log \frac{\mu^2}{s}\right) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s\left(\mu\right)}{2\pi}\right)^3$$
$$\left(\overline{C}_{y,n} + 2\overline{B}_{y,n}\, \beta_\circ \log \frac{\mu^2}{s} + \overline{A}_{y,n}\left(\beta_\circ^2 \log^2 \frac{\mu^2}{s} + \beta_1 \log \frac{\mu^2}{s}\right)\right) + o\left(\alpha_s^4\right). \tag{14}$$

In which

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\circ &= \tfrac{11 C_A - 4 T_R N_F}{6} \\ \beta_1 &= \tfrac{17 C_A^2 \; - 10 C_A\, T_R\, N_F \, - 6 C_F\, T_R\, N_F}{6} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

3. physics results

Moments of event shapes have been measured by various e^+e^- collider experiments at centre-of- mass energies ranging from 40 GeV to 207 GeV [30, 31].

To determine α_s at each energy point, the measured distributions are fitted in the ranges of energies. In this paper we use the experimental data for the five variables at $\langle\sqrt{s}\rangle = 200.2\, GeV$. The scale uncertainly is obtained by repeating the fit for different values of the renormalization scale in the interval $0.5\sqrt{s} \leq \mu \leq 2\sqrt{s}$ [32].

The moments of the five standard event shapes are displayed in Figures 1 and 2. The predictions are compared to L_3 and DELPHI data. Our real data are consistent with NNLO, compared with NLO or LO calculations, because it involves higher order terms in QCD calculations [14].



Fig. 1. First moments of Five event shape variables fitted with eq (14), the data are from the $L3$ and DELPHI experiments, taken from refs [30, 31].



Fig. 2. Second moments of Five event shape variables fitted with eq(14), the data are from the L3 and DELPHI experiments, taken from refs [30, 31].

The value of α_s was estimated by fitting the data [30, 31] with NNLO expression for an event shape moment (14). Separate fits were performed to each of the five observables at centre-of- mass energies ranging from 40 GeV to 207 GeV [30, 31]. The fitted values for α_s change for different choices of the renormalization scale. This is demonstrated for the moments of event shapes in tables 1-5. The given errors are the statistical errors. We also have indicated on each table the value of $\alpha_{\rm S}(M_Z)$ extracted at $M_{\rm Z}$ energy. We don't observe any significant change between the values of strong coupling constant for different event shape moments and renormalization scales.

Table 1. Measurement of α_s from $\langle (B_T) \rangle$ and $\left\langle (B_T)^2 \right\rangle$ moments for different choices of the renormalization scale.

Event shape variable	μ	α_s
$\langle (B_T) \rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1327 ± 0.0008
	$M_{\rm Z}$	0.1268 ± 0.0008
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1254 ± 0.0009
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1188 ± 0.0009
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1131 ± 0.0009
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1104 ± 0.0009
$\left\langle (B_T)^2 \right\rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1345 ± 0.0022
	$M_{\rm Z}$	0.1294 ± 0.0020
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1285 ± 0.0021
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1230 ± 0.0021
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1182 ± 0.0021
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1159 ± 0.0020

Table 2. Measurement of α_s from $\langle 1-T \rangle$ and $\left\langle (1-T)^2 \right\rangle$ moments for different choices of the renormalization scale.

Event shape variable	μ	α_s
$\langle 1-T \rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1339 ± 0.0024
	M_{Z}	0.1298 ± 0.0021
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1273 ± 0.0023
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1211 ± 0.0022
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1158 ± 0.0021
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1133 ± 0.0021
$\left\langle (1-T)^2 \right\rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1377 ± 0.0049
	M_{Z}	0.1364 ± 0.0039
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1316 ± 0.0047
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1260 ± 0.0045
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1210 ± 0.0043
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1186 ± 0.0042

Table 3. Measurement of α_s from $\langle (B_W) \rangle$ and $\left\langle (B_W)^2 \right\rangle$ moments for different choices of the renormalization scale.

Event shape variable	μ	α_s
$\langle (B_W) \rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1297 ± 0.0009
	M_{Z}	0.1249 ± 0.0006
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1228 ± 0.0008
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1159 ± 0.0007
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1097 ± 0.0006
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1068 ± 0.0006
$\left\langle (B_W)^2 \right\rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1231 ± 0.0016
	M_{Z}	0.1195 ± 0.0013
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1166 ± 0.0015
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1107 ± 0.0014
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1055 ± 0.0014
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1031 ± 0.0013

Table 4. Measurement of α_s from $\langle\ C\ \rangle$ and $\left\langle\ C^2\ \right\rangle$ moments for different choices of the renormalization scale.

Event shape variable	μ	α_s
$\langle\ C\ \rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1324 ± 0.0019
	M_{Z}	0.1272 ± 0.0017
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1258 ± 0.0019
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1197 ± 0.0018
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1144 ± 0.0018
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1120 ± 0.0017
$\left\langle\ C^2\ \right\rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1364 ± 0.0039
	M_{Z}	0.1335 ± 0.0029
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1305 ± 0.0038
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1249 ± 0.0036
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1200 ± 0.0035
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1177 ± 0.0035

Table 5. Measurement of α_s from $\langle\ \rho\ \rangle$ and $\left\langle\ \rho^2\ \right\rangle$ moments of the renormalization scale.

Event shape variable	μ	α_s
$\langle\ \rho\ \rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1367 ± 0.0021
	M_{Z}	0.1304 ± 0.0020
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1287 ± 0.0020
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1215 ± 0.0019
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1153 ± 0.0018
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1124 ± 0.0018
$\left\langle\ \rho^2\ \right\rangle$	$\sqrt{s}/4$	0.1317 ± 0.0035
	M_{Z}	0.1260 ± 0.0033
	$\sqrt{s}/3$	0.1246 ± 0.0033
	$\sqrt{s}/2$	0.1180 ± 0.0030
	$\sqrt{s}$	0.1124 ± 0.0029
	$2\sqrt{s}$	0.1098 ± 0.0028

We observe that our obtained values for coupling constant considering the NNLO corrections for different event shape variables are in good agreement with the other experiments [10].

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have presented measurements of the strong coupling constant for hadronic events produced at $L3$ and DELPHI in the centre-of-mass energies 40 GeV to 207GeV. We measured the coupling constant considering the moments of the event shape observables using next-to-next leading order (NNLO) calculations for different choices of the renormalization scale. Our results are consistent with those obtained from other experiments, as well as with the QCD theory.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Abazov, et al, D Collaboration *Phys. Rev. D* **80** 071102 (2009).

[2] Alexey Pak, Mikhail Rogal and Matthias Steinhauser, *Phys. Lett. B* **679** 473-477 (2009).

[3] T. Aaltonen, et al, *Phys.Rev.D* **80** 051104 (2009).

[4] V. Abazov, et al, *Phys.Rev.Lett.***103**132001 (2009).

[5] U. Langenfeld, S. Moch, P. Uwer, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** 054009 (2009).

[6] R. Barate et.al [ALEPH Collaboration] *Eur. Phys. J.* **C** 18 1(2000) [arXiv: hep-ex/0008013].

[7] G. Abbiendi et.al [OPAL Collaboration] *Eur. Phys. J.* **C** 21 411(2001) [arXiv: hepex/0105046].

[8] G. Abbiendi et.al [JADE and OPAL Collaboration] *Eur. Phys. J.* **C 17** 19 (2000).

[9] W Bartel et.al [JADE Collaboration] *Z. Phys. C* **33** 23 (1986).

[10] A. Gehrmann, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover and G. Heinrich, *JHEP* **0712** 094 (2007).

[11] A. Gehrmann, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover and G. Heinrich, POS (RAD COR) 048 (2007).

[12] Stefan Weinzierl, *JHEP* **0906** 041 (2009).

[13] M. E. zomorrodian, A. Sepehri, A. Moradi Marjaneh, *International Journal of* Physics **1** 3 1-10 (2010).

[14] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover and G. Heinrich, *JHEP* **0905** 106 (2009) [100].

[15] A. Gehrmann, T. Gehrmann,E.W.N. Glover and G. Heinrich, *JHEP* **0711** 058 (2007).

[16] G. Dissertori, A. Gehrmann, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, G. Heinrich and H. Stenzel, *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.***183** 2-7(2008).

[17] D.A. Kosower, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** 5410 (1998).

[18] A. Daleo, T. Gehrmann and D. Maıtre, *JHEP* **0704** 016 (2007).

[19] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann and E.W.N. Glover, *JHEP* **0509** 056 (2005).

[20] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover and G. Heinrich, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 172001 (2008).

[21] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover and G. Heinrich, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** 132002 (2007).

[22] Stefan Weinzierl, *JHEP* **0906** 041(2009).

[23] Stefan Weinzierl, *Phys.Rev.Lett.***101** 162001 (2008).

[24] Stefan Weinzierl, *JHEP* **0907** 009 (2009).

[25] G. Dissertori, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, G. Heinrich and H. Stenzel, *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.***183** 2-7 (2008).

[26] S. Catani, B. R. Webber, Y. L. Dokshitzer and F. Fiorani, *Nucl. Phys. B* **383** 419 (1992).

[27] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover and G. Heinrich, *JHEP* **0712** 094 (2004).

[28] S. Catani, S. Dittmaier and Z. Trocsanyi, *Phys. Lett. B* **500** 149 (2001) [arXiv: hep-ph/0011222].

[29] M. Cacciari and S. Catani, *Nucl. Phys. B* **617** 253 (2001) [arXiv: hep-ph/0107138].

[30] S. Catani, S. Dittmaier, M. H. Seymour and Z. Trocsanyi, *Nucl. Phys. B* **627** 189 (2002) [arXiv: hep-ph/0201036].

[31] M. Cacciari and E. Gardi, *Nucl. Phys. B* **664** 299 (2003) [arXiv: hep-ph/0301047].

[32] L3 Collaboration, *Phys.Rept.***399** 71-174 (2004).